arXiv:1704.08194v1 [math.CA] 21 Apr 2017

A formula for the nonsymmetric Opdam's hypergeometric function of type A_2

Béchir Amri and Mounir Bedhiafi

Université Tunis El Manar, Faculté des sciences de Tunis,
Laboratoire d'Analyse Mathématique et Applications,
LR11ES11, 2092 El Manar I, Tunisie.
e-mail: bechir.amri@ipeit.rnu.tn, bedhiafi.mounir@yahoo.fr

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to give an explicit formula for the nonsymmetric Heckman-Opdam's hypergeometric function of type A_2. This is obtained by differentiating the corresponding symmetric hypergeometric function.

Keywords. Root systems, Cherednik operators, Hypergeometric functions.
Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 33C67;17B22. Secondary 33D52 .

1 Introduction

The theory of the hypergeometric functions associated to root systems started in the 1980s with Heckman and Opdam via a generalization of the spherical functions on Riemannian symmetric spaces of noncompact type. Several important aspects are studied by them in a series of publications [4, 9, 10, 11, 12]. One of the impressive developments came in 1995s with the work of Opdam [11], where he introduced a remarkable family of orthogonal polynomials (the so called Opdam's nonsymmetric polynomials) as simultaneous eigenfunctions of Cherednik operators. It contains in particular the presentation of the non-symmetric hypergeometric functions where their investigations become an interesting topics in the theory of special functions and in the harmonic analysis. In this paper, we focus on the non-symmetric hypergeometric function associated to root systems of type A, for the purpose in finding an explicit formula for it, as it is done in the symmetric case [1, 2, 13, 3]. The setting is that non-symmetric hypergeometric function can be derived from the symmeric ones via application of a suitable polynomial of Cherednik operators ([12], cor. 7.6). This paper deals with the case where the root system is of type A_2, using Opdam's shifted operators and Cherednik operators, so the problem semble to be more robust for others A_n.

In order to describe our approach let us be more specific about A-type hypergeometric function. We assume that the reader is familiar with root systems and their basic properties. As a general reference, we mention Opdam [11, 12].

Let $(e_1, e_2, ..., e_n)$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\langle ., . \rangle$ be the usual inner product for which this basis is orthonormal. We denote by $\| \, . \, \|$ its Euclidean norm. Let $\mathbb{V}$ be the hyperplane orthogonal to the vector $e = e_1 + ... + e_n$. In $\mathbb{V}$ we consider the root system of type A_{n-1}

$$R = \{e_i - e_j, \ 1 \leq i \neq j \leq n\}$$

with the subsystem of positives roots

$$R_+ = \{e_i - e_j, \ 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}.$$

The associated Weyl group W is isomorphic to symmetric group S_n, permuting the n coordinates. We define the positive Weyl chamber

$$C = \{x \in \mathbb{V}, \ x_1 > x_2 > ... > x_n\}.$$

Denote π_n the orthogonal projection onto $\mathbb{V}$, which is given by

$$\pi_n(x) = x - \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j \right) e, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The cone of dominant weights is the set

$$P_+ = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}_+ \beta_j, \quad \beta_j = \pi_n(e_1 + e_2 + ... + e_j).$$

For fixed $k > 0$, the Dunkl-Cherednik operators T_ξ, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, is defined by

$$T_\xi^k = \partial_\xi + k \sum_{i<j} (\xi_i - \xi_j) \frac{1 - s_{i,j}}{1 - e^{x_j - x_i}} - \langle \rho_k, \xi \rangle \tag{1.1}$$

where $\rho_k = \dfrac{k}{2} \displaystyle\sum_{j=1}^{n} (n - 2j + 1) e_j$ and $s_{i,j}$ acts on functions of variables $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ by interchanging the variables x_i and x_j. For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$ (the complexification of $\mathbb{V}$) there exists a unique holomorphic W-invariant function $F_k(\lambda, .)$ in a W-invariant tubular neighborhood of $\mathbb{V}$ in $\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$ such that

$$p(T^k_{\pi(e_1)}, ...T^k_{\pi(e_n)}) F_k(\lambda, .) = p(\lambda) F_k(\lambda, .); \quad F(\lambda, 0) = 1,$$

for all symmetric polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, .., X_n]$. In particular

$$\Delta_k F_k(\lambda, .) = \|\lambda\|^2 F_k(\lambda, .) \tag{1.2}$$

where $\Delta_k = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(T_{\pi(e_i)} \right)^2$ is the Heckman-Opdam Laplacian. Note that the restriction of Δ_k to the set of W-invariant functions is the differential operator

$$L_k = \Delta + k \sum_{\alpha \in R^+} \coth \frac{\langle \alpha, x \rangle}{2} \, \partial_\alpha + \langle \rho_k, \rho_k \rangle$$

where Δ is the ordinary Laplace operator.
There exists a unique solution $G_k(\lambda, x)$ of the eigenvalue problem

$$T_\xi(k)G_k(\lambda,.) = \langle \lambda, \xi\rangle G_k(\lambda,.), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N, \qquad G_k(\lambda, 0) = 1. \tag{1.3}$$

holomorphic for all λ and for x in $\mathbb{V} + iU$ for a neighbourhood $U \subset \mathbb{V}$ of zero. The function G_k is the so-called nonsymmetric Opdam's hypergeometric function.
If $x \in \mathbb{V}$ then

$$|G_k(\lambda, x)| \leq \sqrt{n!}\, e^{\max_{w\in W}\langle Re(\lambda), w(x)\rangle}. \tag{1.4}$$

Moreover, the Heckman-Opdam hypergeometric function F_k can be written as

$$F_k(\lambda, x) = \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{w\in W} G_k(\lambda, w.x). \tag{1.5}$$

In other words, for λ satisfying $\lambda_i - \lambda_j \neq 0; \pm k$ we have

$$G_k(\lambda, x) = D_q F_k(\lambda, x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{V} \tag{1.6}$$

where

$$D_q = \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq n}\left(1 - \frac{k}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}\right)^{-1} \prod_{w\in W, w\neq id}\left(\frac{T_\xi - \langle w(\lambda), \xi\rangle}{\langle \lambda, \xi\rangle - \langle w(\lambda), \xi\rangle}\right)$$

and ξ is any element in $\mathbb{V}$ satisfying $\langle \lambda, \xi\rangle - \langle w(\lambda), \xi\rangle \neq 0$ for all $w \neq id$.
However, (1.6) is far from being applied to find an expansion of G_k when an explicit formula of F_k is given, so the polynomial q has degree $n! - 1$. It would therefore be desirable to get another polynomial that is of suitable low degree, this will be described in section 3 when the root system is of type A_2.

2 An integral formula for Heckman-Opdam hypergeometric function of type A

In [1] an explicit and recursive formula on the dimension n for the A-type Heckman-Opdam's hypergeometric function is obtained as a consequence of similar formula for Jack polynomials. We have for $\lambda \in P_+$ and $x \in C$

$$F_{k,n}(\lambda + \rho_{k,n}, x) = \frac{c_n(\lambda + \rho_{k,n})}{c_{n-1}(\overline{\lambda} + \rho_{k,n-1})U_n(\widetilde{\lambda})V_n(x)^{2k-1}} \int_{x_2}^{x_1} \dots \int_{x_n}^{x_{n-1}} F_{k,n-1}\Big(\pi_{n-1}(\overline{\lambda}) + \rho^k_{k,n-1}, \pi_{n-1}(\nu)\Big) e^{|\nu|\left(1+\frac{|\overline{\lambda}|}{n-1}\right)} V_{n-1}(\nu)\, W_k(x,\nu)\, d\nu$$

with the following notations

$$c_n(\lambda)=\prod_{\alpha\in R+}\frac{\Gamma(\langle\lambda,\alpha\rangle)\Gamma(\langle\rho_{k,n},\alpha\rangle+k)}{\Gamma(\langle\lambda,\alpha\rangle+k)\Gamma(\langle\rho_{k,n},\alpha\rangle)},\qquad U_n(\lambda)=\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\beta(\lambda_j+(n-j)k,k)$$

$$\widetilde{\lambda}=(\lambda_1-\lambda_n,\lambda_2-\lambda_n,...,\lambda_{n-1}-\lambda_n,0),\qquad \overline{\lambda}=(\lambda_1-\lambda_n,\lambda_2-\lambda_n,...,\lambda_{n-1}-\lambda_n),$$

$$|\nu|=\nu_1+\nu_2+...+\nu_{n-1};\qquad V_n(x)=\prod_{1\le i<j\le n}(e^{x_i}-e^{x_j}),$$

$$W_k(x,\nu)=\prod_{1\le i\le n;\ 1\le j\le n-1}|e^{x_i}-e^{\nu_j}|^{k-1}.$$

It can be simplified to

$$F_{k,n}(\lambda+\rho_{k,n},x) = \frac{\Gamma(nk)}{V_n(x)^{2k-1}\Gamma(k)^n}\int_{x_2}^{x_1}...\int_{x_n}^{x_{n-1}}F_{k;n-1}\Big(\pi_{n-1}(\overline{\lambda})+\rho_{k,n-1}^k,\pi_{n-1}(\nu)\Big)$$
$$e^{|\nu|\left(1+\frac{|\overline{\lambda}|}{n-1}\right)}V_{n-1}(\nu)\,W_k(x,\nu)\,d\nu \qquad (2.1)$$

By analytic continuation, according to Carlson's theorem (see [14], p. 186), this formula is still valid for all $\lambda\in\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Indeed, for $x\in C$ define the functions of vaiable $\lambda\in\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$

$$H_1(\lambda)=e^{-\langle\lambda,x\rangle}F_{k,n}(\lambda+\rho_{k,n},x)$$
$$H_2(\lambda)=\frac{\Gamma(nk)}{\Gamma(k)^nV_n(x)^{2k-1}}\int_{x_2}^{x_1}...\int_{x_n}^{x_{n-1}}e^{-\langle\lambda,x\rangle+|\nu||\overline{\lambda}|/(N-1)}$$
$$F_{k,n-1}^k\Big(\pi_{n-1}(\overline{\lambda})+\rho_{k,n-1},\pi_{n-1}(\nu)\Big)e^{|\nu|}V_{n-1}(\nu)\,W_k(x,e^{\nu})\,d\nu$$

We have from (1.4)

$$|H_1(\lambda)|\le\sqrt{n!}\,e^{\langle\rho_{k,n},x\rangle}$$

for all $\lambda\in\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$, $Re(\lambda)\in C$ and $x\in C$. In order to estimate H_2 we note beforehand the following fact, for $\nu=(\nu_1,\nu_2,...,\nu_{n-1})$ and $x\in C$ with $x_{i+1}\le\nu_i\le x_i$, if we consider $\nu^*=(\nu_1,\nu_2,...,\nu_{n-1},\nu_n)\in\mathbb{V}$ then we have $\nu^*\preceq x$ where $\preceq$ denotes the partial order on $\mathbb{V}$ associated to the dual cone $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\mathbb{R}_+(e_i-e_{i+1})$, which implies that $\langle Re(\lambda),\nu^*\rangle\le\langle Re(\lambda),x\rangle$, for $\lambda\in\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$ with $Re(\lambda)\in C$. Applying this fact and (1.4) it follows that

$$\Big|e^{-\langle\lambda,\,x\rangle+|\nu||\overline{\lambda}|/(n-1))}F_{k,n-1}\Big(\pi_{n-1}(\overline{\lambda})+\rho_{k,n-1},\pi_{n-1}(\nu)\Big)\Big|$$
$$\le\sqrt{(n-1)!}\,e^{-\langle Re(\lambda),\,x-\nu^*\rangle+\langle\rho_{k,n-1},\,\pi_{n-1}(\nu)\rangle}$$
$$\le\sqrt{(n-1)!}\,e^{\langle\rho_{k,n-1},\,\pi_{n-1}(\nu)\rangle}$$

Hence H_1 and H_2 are Holomorphic functions, bounded for $Re(\lambda)\in C$ and coincide on $P_+\simeq\mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}$, the Carleson's Theorem yields $H_1(\lambda)=H_1(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda\in\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$, $Re(\lambda)\in C$ and thus for all $\lambda\in\mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$ by analytic continuation.

Now, using the fact that $\pi_{n-1}(\overline{\rho_{k,n}})=\rho_{k,n-1}^k$ and $|\overline{\rho_{k,n}}|=kn(n-1)/2$, we state the following final form of our recursive formula.

Theorem 2.1. *For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $x \in C$.*

$$F_{k,n}(\lambda, x) = \frac{\Gamma(nk)}{\Gamma(k)^n V_n(x)^{2k-1}} \int_{x_2}^{x_1} \dots \int_{x_n}^{x_{n-1}} F_{k,n-1}\Big(\pi_{n-1}(\overline{\lambda}), \pi_{n-1}(\nu)\Big)\, e^{|\nu|\left(1-nk/2+|\overline{\lambda}|/(n-1)\right)} V_{n-1}(\nu)\, W_k(x,\nu)\, d\nu \tag{2.2}$$

In the rank-one case, which corresponds to take $n = 2$ and $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{R}(e_1 - e_2)$, the formula (2.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} F_{k,2}(\lambda, x) &= \frac{\Gamma(2k)}{\Gamma(k)^2 (2\sinh x_1)^{2k-1}} \int_{-x_1}^{x_1} e^{\nu(1-k+2\lambda_1)} (e^{x_1} - e^{\nu})^{k-1} (e^{\nu} - e^{-x_1})^{k-1} d\nu \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(2k)}{2^k \Gamma(k)^2 (\sinh x_1)^{2k-1}} \int_{-x_1}^{x_1} e^{2\nu\lambda_1} (\cosh x_1 - \cosh \nu)^{k-1} d\nu \\ &= \varphi_{2i\lambda_1}^{k-1/2,-1/2}(x_1) = {}_2F_1\left(\frac{k}{2} - \lambda_1,\ \frac{k}{2} + \lambda_1,\ k + \frac{1}{2},\ -\sinh^2 x_1\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi_{2i\lambda_1}^{k-1/2,-1/2}$ is a Jacobi function see (1.4), (3.4) and (3.5) of [7]. We recall here various facts about the Jacobi function $\varphi_{i\eta}^{k-1/2,-1/2}$ that we shall need later, we refer to [7, 8].

$$\varphi_{i\eta}^{k-1/2,-1/2}(2t) = \varphi_{2i\eta}^{k-1/2,k-1/2}(t) \tag{2.3}$$

$$\left(\varphi_{i\eta}^{k-1/2,-1/2}\right)'(t) = \frac{1}{(2k+1)} (\eta^2 - k^2) \sinh(t) \varphi_{i\eta}^{k+1/2,-1/2}(t) \tag{2.4}$$

$$\left(\varphi_{i\eta}^{k-1/2,-1/2}\right)''(t) + 2k \coth(t) \left(\varphi_{i\eta}^{k-1/2,-1/2}\right)'(t) = (\eta^2 - k^2) \varphi_{i\eta}^{k+1/2,-1/2}(t) \tag{2.5}$$

In the rank-two case, where $n = 3$ which is our subject in the next section, Heckman-Opdam's hypergeometric function has the following integral representation (we omit here the dependance on n)

$$F_k(\lambda, x) = \frac{\Gamma(3k)}{\Gamma(k)^3} V(x)^{-2k+1} \int_{x_2}^{x_1} \int_{x_3}^{x_2} e^{(1-\frac{3}{2}(\lambda_3+k))(\nu_1+\nu_2)}\, \varphi_{i(\lambda_1-\lambda 2)}^{k-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\nu_1 - \nu_2}{2}\right) (e^{\nu_1} - e^{\nu_2}) W_k(\nu, x)\, d\nu. \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$W_k(\nu, x) = \Big((e^{x_1} - e^{\nu_1})(e^{x_1} - e^{\nu_2})(e^{x_2} - e^{\nu_2})(e^{\nu_1} - e^{x_2})(e^{\nu_1} - e^{x_3})(e^{\nu_2} - e^{x_3}))\Big)^{k-1}$$

and

$$V(x) = (e^{x_1} - e^{x_2})(e^{x_1} - e^{x_3})(e^{x_2} - e^{x_3}).$$

In order to find an expression for F_k of Laplace type, we write

$$\begin{aligned} F_k(\lambda, x) &= \frac{\Gamma(3k)}{4\Gamma(k)^3 \left(\prod_{1 \le i < j \le 3} \sinh\left(\frac{x_i - x_j}{2}\right)\right)^{2k-1}} \int_{x_2}^{x_1} \int_{x_3}^{x_2} e^{-3(\nu_1+\nu_2)\lambda_3/2} \\ &\quad \varphi_{i(\lambda_1-\lambda 2)}^{k-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\nu_1 - \nu_2}{2}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{\nu_1 - \nu_2}{2}\right) \left(\prod_{1 \le i \le 2;\ 1 \le j \le 3} \sinh\left(\frac{|\nu_i - x_j|}{2}\right)\right)^{k-1} d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

With the change of variables

$$y_1 = \frac{\nu_1+\nu_2}{2}, \quad t = \frac{\nu_1-\nu_2}{2},$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} F_k(\lambda,x) &= \frac{\Gamma(3k)}{2^{3k-2}\Gamma(k)^3\left(\prod_{1\le i<j\le 3}\sinh(\frac{x_i-x_j}{2})\right)^{2k-1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-3\lambda_3 y_1}\varphi^{k-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}}_{i(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)}(t)\sinh(t) \\ &\Big((\cosh(x_1-y_1)-\cosh t)(\cosh t-\cosh(x_2-y_1))(\cosh(x_3-y_1)-\cosh t)\Big)^{k-1} \\ &\chi_{[x_2,x_1]}(y_1+t)\ \chi_{[x_3,x_2]}(y_1-t)dy_1dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now inserting

$$\varphi^{k-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}}_{i(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)}(t) = \frac{\Gamma(2k)}{2^k\Gamma(k)^2(\sinh t)^{2k-1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{y_2(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)}(\cosh(t)-\cosh y_2)^{k-1}\chi_{[-1,1]}\left(\frac{y_2}{t}\right)dy_2,$$

with the use of Fubini's Theorem and the fact that

$$\chi_{[-1,1]}(\frac{y_2}{t})\chi_{[x_2,x_1]}(y_1+t)\ \chi_{[x_3,x_2]}(y_1-t) = \chi_{\max(|y_2|,|y_1-x_2|)\le t\le\min(y_1-x_3,x_1-y_1)},$$

if follows that

$$F_k(\lambda,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{3(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)y_1+(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)y_2}R_k(x,y_1,y_2)dy_1dy_2 \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_k(x,y_1,y_2) &= \frac{\Gamma(2k)\Gamma(3k)}{2^{4k-2}\Gamma(k)^5\left(\prod_{1\le i<j\le 3}\sinh\left(\frac{x_i-x_j}{2}\right)\right)^{2k-1}} \\ &\int_{\max(|y_2|,|y_1-x_2|)}^{\min(y_1-x_3,x_1-y_1)}\left(\frac{\cosh t-\cosh y_1}{\sinh^2 t}\right)^{k-1}\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{3}|\cosh(x_i-y_1)-\cosh(t)|\Big)^{k-1}dt, \end{aligned}$$

if $\max(|y_2|,|y_1-x_2|)\le\min(y_1-x_3,x_1-y_1)$ and $R_k(x,y_1,y_2)=0$, otherwise. We should note here that the condition

$$\max(|y_2|,|y_1-x_2|)\le\min(y_1-x_3,x_1-y_1)$$

is equivalent to

$$x_3\le y_1\pm y_2, -2y_1\le x_1$$

an thus equivalents to $y=(y_1+y_2,y_1-y_2,-2y_1)\in co(x)$, the convex hull of the orbit $W.x$, see proposition 3.6 of [1]. Also, we have $y=y_1\sqrt{6}\,\varepsilon_1+y_2\sqrt{2}\,\varepsilon_2$ in the orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{V}$

$$\varepsilon_1=(e_1+e_2-2e_3)/\sqrt{6}, \quad \varepsilon_2=(e_1-e_2)/\sqrt{2}.$$

Making the change of variables $z_1=\sqrt{6}\,y_1$, $z_2=\sqrt{2}\,y_2$ in the formula (2.7) and identify $\mathbb{R}^2$ with $\mathbb{V}$ via the basis $(\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2)$ we finally write

$$F_k(\lambda,x)=\int_{co(x)} e^{\langle\lambda,z\rangle}\mathcal{N}_k(x,z)dz. \tag{2.8}$$

where $\mathcal{N}_k(x,z) = R_k\left(x, z_1/\sqrt{6}, z_2/\sqrt{2}\right)/\sqrt{12}$.

Next, for $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{V})$, W- invariant, we define $V_k(f)$ the W- invariant function on $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{R}\varepsilon_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}\varepsilon_2$ by

$$V_k(f)(x) = \int_{co(x)} f(z)\mathcal{N}_k(x,z)dz; \qquad x \in C.$$

Proposition 2.2. *For $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{V})$ and $x \in C$, we have the following intertwining property*

$$\Delta_k(V_k(f)) = V_k(\Delta(f)).$$

Proof. By inversion formula for Fourier transform and Fubini's Theorem

$$V_k(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\int_{co(x)} \widehat{f}(\xi)e^{i\langle\xi,z\rangle}\mathcal{N}_k(x,z)dzd\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \widehat{f}(\xi)F_k(i\xi,x)d\xi. \tag{2.9}$$

Here we define the Fourier transform of f by

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(t)e^{-i\langle\xi,t\rangle}dt$$

From a general estimates of Heckman-Opdam's hypergeometric function (see for example corollary 6.2 in [12]), the last integral of (2.9) is a C^∞ as a function of x and then by (1.2) one has

$$\Delta_k(V_k(f))(x) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|\xi\|^2\widehat{f}(\xi)F_k(i\xi,x)d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \widehat{\Delta f}(\xi)F_k(i\xi,x)d\xi = V_k(\Delta(f)(x),$$

which proves the desired fact. □

3 Nonsymmetric Opdam's hypergeometric function of type A_2

We begin with some backgrounds from Heckman-Opdam theory of hypergeometric functions associated to a root system R with Weyl group W of a finite-dimensional vector space $\mathfrak{a}$, we refer to [11, 12] for a more detailed treatment. For a regular weight $\lambda \in P_+$ (the set of dominant weights) we denote by $P_k(\lambda,.)$ the Hekman-Opdam Jacobi polynomial and by $E_k(\lambda,.)$ the non symmetric Opdam polynomial. In the following we collect some properties and relationships.

(i) $P_k(\lambda,x) = \sum_{w\in W} E_k(\lambda,wx)$

(ii) $\sum_{w\in W} det(w)E_k(\lambda+\delta,wx) = V(x)P_{k+1}(\lambda,x)$, where $\delta = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in R^+}\alpha$ and $V(x) = \prod_{\alpha\in R^+}(e^{\alpha/2} - e^{-\alpha/2})$

(iii) $P_k(\lambda,0)=\dfrac{c_k(\rho_k)}{c_k(\lambda+\rho_k)}$, where $\rho_k(\lambda)=\dfrac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\in R+}k_\alpha\alpha$, $\quad c_k(\lambda)=\prod_{\alpha\in R+}\dfrac{\Gamma(\langle\lambda,\check{\alpha}\rangle)}{\Gamma(\langle\lambda,\check{\alpha}\rangle+k_\alpha)}$ and $\check{\alpha}=2\alpha/|\alpha|^2$.

(iv) $P_k(\lambda,x)=P_k(\lambda,0)F_k(\lambda+\rho_k,x)$

(v) $E_k(\lambda,x)=\dfrac{P_k(\lambda,0)}{|W|}\,G_k(\lambda+\rho_k,x)$.

From these facts we give the following consequence.

Proposition 3.1. *For all $\lambda,x\in\mathfrak{a}$,*

$$\sum_{w\in W}det(w)G_k(\lambda,w.x)=d_k(\lambda)V(x)F_{k+1}(\lambda,x), \tag{3.1}$$

where,

$$d_k(\lambda)=\ |W|\ \frac{c_{k+1}(\rho_{k+1})}{c_k(\rho_k)}\ \frac{c_k(\lambda)}{c_{k+1}(\lambda)}.$$

Proof. This is closely related to (ii), where by using the above relations one can write for regular $\lambda\in P_+$,

$$\sum_{w\in W}det(w)G_k(\lambda+\rho_{k+1},x)=d_k(\lambda+\rho_{k+1})V(x)F_{k+1}(\lambda+\rho_{k+1},x),$$

since we have $\rho_k+\delta=\rho_{k+1}$. This identity can be extended for all $\lambda\in\mathfrak{a}$ via analytic continuation by means of Carlson's theorem.

□

Let us return now to the space $\mathbb{V}$, for $n=3$ and investigate (3.1). In this case we have

$$d_k(\lambda)=\frac{1}{(2k+1)(3k+1)(3k+2)}\ (\lambda_1-\lambda_2+k)(\lambda_2-\lambda_3+k)(\lambda_1-\lambda_3+k).$$

We introduce the antisymmetric function

$$F_k^*(\lambda,x)=\frac{1}{6}\sum_{w\in S_3}det(w)G_k(\lambda,x)=\frac{d_k(\lambda)}{6}V(x)F_{k+1}(\lambda,x) \tag{3.2}$$

and the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} I_k(\lambda,\nu) &= \varphi^{k-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}}_{i(\lambda_1-\lambda2)}\left(\frac{\nu_1-\nu_2}{2}\right)\ e^{-\frac{3}{2}(\lambda_3+k)(\nu_1+\nu_2)}; \qquad \gamma_k=\frac{\Gamma(3k)}{\Gamma(k)^3}\\ L_k(\lambda,\nu) &= \frac{\left(\varphi^{k-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}}_{i(\lambda_1-\lambda2)}\right)'\left(\frac{\nu_1-\nu_2}{2}\right)}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2-k}\ e^{-\frac{3}{2}(\lambda_3+k)(\nu_1+\nu_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

So we can write

$$F_k(\lambda,x)=\gamma_kV(x)^{-2k+1}\int_{x_2}^{x_1}\int_{x_3}^{x_2}I_k(\lambda,\nu)e^{\nu_1+\nu_2}(e^{\nu_1}-e^{\nu_2})W_k(\nu,x)d\nu. \tag{3.3}$$

The integral representation for the functions F_k^* is given in the following.

Proposition 3.2. *We have for $\lambda \in \mathbb{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $x \in C$,*

$$F_k^*(\lambda, x) = \frac{\gamma_k}{4k^2} V(x)^{-2k} \int_{x_2}^{x_1} \int_{x_3}^{x_2} L_k(\lambda, \nu) p(x, \nu) W_k(\nu, x) d\nu. \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} p(x,\nu) &= -2be^{2(\nu_1+\nu_2)} + (ab+3)e^{\nu_1+\nu_2}(e^{\nu_1}+e^{\nu_2}) - 2a(e^{2\nu_1}+e^{2\nu_2}) \\ &\quad -2(b^2+a)e^{\nu_1+\nu_2} + 4b(e^{\nu_1}+e^{\nu_2}) - 6 \end{aligned}$$

and with $a = e^{x_1} + e^{x_2} + e^{x_3}$ and $b = e^{-x_1} + e^{-x_2} + e^{-x_3}$.

Proof. We first write

$$\begin{aligned} &(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + k)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_3 + k)(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3 + k) \\ &= \frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + k)}{4} \Big((-3\lambda_3 + k)^2 + 2k(-3\lambda_3 + k) - ((\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2 - k^2) \Big). \end{aligned}$$

The formula (2.6) for parameter $k+1$, together with (2.4) yield

$$(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + k) F_{k+1}(\lambda, x) = 2(2k+1)\gamma_{k+1} V(x)^{-2k-1} \int_{x_2}^{x_1} \int_{x_3}^{x_2} L_k(\lambda, \nu) W_{k+1}(\nu, x) d\nu.$$

So using integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} &(-3\lambda_3 + k)(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + k) F_{k+1}(\lambda, x) \\ &= 2(2k+1)\gamma_{k+1} V(x)^{-2k-1} \int_{x_2}^{x_1} \int_{x_3}^{x_2} L_k(\lambda, \nu)(4k - (\partial_{\nu_1} + \partial_{\nu_2})) W_{k+1}(\nu, x) d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &(-3\lambda_3 + k)^2 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + k) F_{k+1}(\lambda, x) \\ &= 2(2k+1)\gamma_{k+1} V(x)^{-2k-1} \int_{x_2}^{x_1} \int_{x_3}^{x_2} L_k(\lambda, \nu)(\partial_{\nu_1} + \partial_{\nu_2} - 4k)^2 W_{k+1}(\nu, x) d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way with the use of (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
&((\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^2-k^2)(\lambda_1-\lambda_2+k)F_{k+1}(\lambda,x)\\
&=-2(2k+1)\gamma_{k+1}V(x)^{-2k-1}\int_{x_2}^{x_1}\int_{x_3}^{x_2}e^{-\frac{3}{2}(\lambda_3+k)(\nu_1+\nu_2)}(\lambda_1-\lambda_2+k)\\
&\qquad\varphi_{i(\lambda_1-\lambda 2)}^{k-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\nu_1-\nu_2}{2}\right)(\partial_{\nu_1}-\partial_{\nu_2})W_{k+1}(\nu,x)d\nu\\
&=2(2k+1)\gamma_{k+1}V(x)^{-2k-1}\int_{x_2}^{x_1}\int_{x_3}^{x_2}e^{-\frac{3}{2}(\lambda_3+k)(\nu_1+\nu_2)}\frac{\left(\varphi_{i(\lambda_1-\lambda 2)}^{k-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}}\right)'\left(\frac{\nu_1-\nu_2}{2}\right)}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2-k}\\
&\qquad(\partial_{\nu_1}-\partial_{\nu_2})^2W_{k+1}(\nu,x)d\nu\\
&-4k(2k+1)\gamma_{k+1}V(x)^{-2k-1}\int_{x_2}^{x_1}\int_{x_3}^{x_2}e^{-\frac{3}{2}(\lambda_3+k)(\nu_1+\nu_2)}\frac{\left(\varphi_{i(\lambda_1-\lambda 2)}^{k-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}}\right)'\left(\frac{\nu_1-\nu_2}{2}\right)}{\lambda_1-\lambda_2-k}\\
&\qquad\left(\frac{e^{\nu_1}+e^{\nu_2}}{e^{\nu_1}-e^{\nu_2}}\right)(\partial_{\nu_1}-\partial_{\nu_2})W_{k+1}(\nu,x)d\nu\\
&=2(2k+1)\gamma_{k+1}V(x)^{-2k-1}\int_{x_2}^{x_1}\int_{x_3}^{x_2}L_k(\lambda,\nu)\Big\{(\partial_{\nu_1}-\partial_{\nu_2})^2\\
&\qquad-2k\left(\frac{e^{\nu_1}+e^{\nu_2}}{e^{\nu_1}-e^{\nu_2}}\right)(\partial_{\nu_1}-\partial_{\nu_2})\Big\}W_{k+1}(\nu,x)d\nu.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&F_k^*(\lambda,x)\\
&=\frac{\gamma_k}{4k^2}V(x)^{-2k}\int_{x_2}^{x_1}\int_{x_3}^{x_2}L_k(\lambda,\nu)\Big\{24k^2+2k\left(\frac{e^{\nu_1}+e^{\nu_2}}{e^{\nu_1}-e^{\nu_2}}\right)(\partial_{\nu_1}-\partial_{\nu_2})\\
&\qquad-10k(\partial_{\nu_1}+\partial_{\nu_2})+4\partial_{\nu_1}\partial_{\nu_2}\Big\}W_{k+1}(\nu,x)d\nu.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, it is straightforward computation to verify that

$$p(\nu,x)=\Big\{24k^2+2k\left(\frac{e^{\nu_1}+e^{\nu_2}}{e^{\nu_1}-e^{\nu_2}}\right)(\partial_{\nu_1}-\partial_{\nu_2})-10k(\partial_{\nu_1}+\partial_{\nu_2})+4\partial_{\nu_1}\partial_{\nu_2}\Big\}W_{k+1}(\nu,x)$$

□

Next, we can provide an integral expansion for G_k by differentiating (3.3) and (3.4). Let us introduce the operators

$$\begin{aligned}
D_k &= D_k(\lambda)=(\lambda_1-\lambda_3+2k)T_{\pi(e_1)}+(\lambda_2-\lambda_3+k)T_{\pi(e_2)}+\tau(\lambda)+k(\lambda_1-\lambda_3)+k^2\\
D_k^* &= D_k^*(\lambda)=(\lambda_1-\lambda_3-2k)T_{\pi(e_1)}+(\lambda_2-\lambda_3-k)T_{\pi(e_2)}+\tau(\lambda)-k(\lambda_1-\lambda_3)+k^2
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tau(\lambda)=\lambda_1^2+\lambda_2^2+\lambda_1\lambda_2$. Recall that $\pi(e_1)=(\frac{2}{3},-\frac{1}{3},-\frac{1}{3})$ and $\pi(e_2)=(-\frac{1}{3},\frac{2}{3},-\frac{1}{3})$. Our main result from this section is the following.

Theorem 3.3. *For λ, $x\in\mathbb{V}$ we have*

$$(\tau(\lambda)-k^2)G_k(\lambda,x)=D_k(F_k(\lambda,x))+D_k^*(F_k^*(\lambda,x)). \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. The proof is purely computational. Using the following fact, see (5.1) of [11],

$$wT_\xi w^{-1} = T_{w.\xi} - \sum_{\alpha\in R_+,\, w.\alpha\,\in R_-} k\,\langle\alpha,\xi\rangle s_{w\alpha}.$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\pi(e_1)}\,(G_k(\lambda,x)) &= \lambda_1 G_k(\lambda,x),\\
T_{\pi(e_1)}\,(G_k(\lambda,s_{1,2}.x)) &= \lambda_2 G_k(\lambda,s_{1,2}.x) - kG_k(\lambda,x),\\
T_{\pi(e_1)}\,(G_k(\lambda,s_{2,3}.x)) &= \lambda_1 G_k(\lambda,s_{2,3}.x),\\
T_{\pi(e_1)}\,(G_k(\lambda,s_{1,3}.x)) &= \lambda_3 G_k(\lambda,s_{1,3}.x) - kG_k(\lambda,\sigma.x) - kG_k(\lambda,x),\\
T_{\pi(e_1)}\,(G_k(\lambda,\sigma.x)) &= \lambda_2 G_k(\lambda,\sigma.x)) - kG_k(\lambda,s_{2,3}.x)\\
T_{\pi(e_1)}\,(G_k(\lambda,\sigma^2.x)) &= \lambda_3 G_k(\lambda,\sigma^2.x)) - kG_k(\lambda,s_{1,2}.x) - kG_k(\lambda,s_{2,3}.x),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\pi(e_2)}\,(G_k(\lambda,x)) &= \lambda_2 G_k(\lambda,x),\\
T_{\pi(e_2)}\,(G_k(\lambda,s_{1,2}.x)) &= \lambda_1 G_k(\lambda,s_{1,2}.x) + kG_k(\lambda,x),\\
T_{\pi(e_2)}\,(G_k(\lambda,s_{2,3}.x)) &= \lambda_3 G_k(\lambda,s_{2,3}.x) - kG_k(\lambda,x)\\
T_{\pi(e_2)}\,(G_k(\lambda,s_{1,3}.x)) &= \lambda_2 G_k(\lambda,s_{1,3}.x) - kG_k(\lambda,\sigma^2.x) + kG_k(\lambda,\sigma.x),\\
T_{\pi(e_2)}\,(G_k(\lambda,\sigma.x)) &= \lambda_3 G_k(\lambda,\sigma.x)) - kG_k(\lambda,s_{1,2}.x),\\
T_{\pi(e_2)}\,(G_k(\lambda,\sigma^2.x)) &= \lambda_1 G_k(\lambda,\sigma^2.x)) + kG_k(\lambda,s_{2,3}.x).
\end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma = s_{1,3}s_{1,2}$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\pi(e_1)}\,(F_k(\lambda,x)) = \quad & \frac{1}{6}\Big\{(\lambda_1-2k)G_k(\lambda,x) + (\lambda_2-k)G_k(\lambda,s_{1,2}.x)\\
& +(\lambda_1-2k)G_k(\lambda,s_{2,3}.x) + \lambda_3 G_k(\lambda,s_{1,3}.x)\\
& +(\lambda_2-k)G_k(\lambda,\sigma.x) + \lambda_3 G_k(\lambda,\sigma^2.x)\Big\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\pi(e_2)}\,(F_k(\lambda,x)) = \quad & \frac{1}{6}\Big\{\lambda_2 G_k(\lambda,x) + (\lambda_1-k)G_k(\lambda,s_{1,2}.x)\\
& +(\lambda_3+k)G_k(\lambda,s_{2,3}.x) + \lambda_2 G_k(\lambda,s_{1,3}.x)\\
& +(\lambda_3+k)G_k(\lambda,\sigma.x) + (\lambda_1-k)G_k(\lambda,\sigma^2.x)\Big\},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\pi(e_1)}\,(F^*_k(\lambda,x)) = \quad & \frac{1}{6}\Big\{(\lambda_1+2k)G_k(\lambda,x) - (\lambda_2+k)G_k(\lambda,s_{1,2}.x)\\
& -(\lambda_1+2k)G_k(\lambda,s_{2,3}.x) - \lambda_3 G_k(\lambda,s_{1,3}.x)\\
& +(\lambda_2+k)G_k(\lambda,\sigma.x) + \lambda_3 G_k(\lambda,\sigma^2.x)\Big\},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\pi(e_2)}\,(F^*_k(\lambda,x)) = \quad & \frac{1}{6}\Big\{\lambda_2 G_k(\lambda,x) - (\lambda_1+k)G_k(\lambda,s_{1,2}.x)\\
& +(-\lambda_3+k)G_k(\lambda,s_{2,3}.x) - \lambda_2 G_k(\lambda,s_{1,3}.x)\\
& +(\lambda_3-k)G_k(\lambda,\sigma.x) + (\lambda_1+k)G_k(\lambda,\sigma^2.x)\Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

So, formula (3.5) can be checked by a straightforward calculations. □

References

[1] B. Amri, *Note on the Bessel function of type A_{N-1}*. Integral transforms and Special functions. 25, 2014, no. 6, 448-461.

[2] B. Amri, *On the Integral Representations for Dunkl Kernels of Type A_2* , Journal of Lie Theory 26 (2016), No. 4, 1163-1175.

[3] A. Borodin and V. Gorin. *General β-Jacobi corners process and the Gaussian free field*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 68(10), 1774-1844, 2015.

[4] G.J. Heckman and E.M. Opdam, *Root systems and hypergeometric functions*. I, Compositio Math. 64 (1987), 329-352.

[5] G.J. Heckman, *Root systems and hypergeometric functions II*, Comp. Math. 64 (1987), 353-373.

[6] J.E. Humphreys, *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.

[7] T.H. Koornwinder, *Jacobi functions as limit cases of q-ultraspherical polynomials*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 148 (1990), 44-54.

[8] Tom Koornwinder, *A new proof of a Paley-Wiener type theorem for the Jacobi transform*, Ark. Mat. 13 (1975), 145-159.

[9] E. M. Opdam, *Root systems and hypergeometric functions III*, Comp. Math. 67 (1988), 21-49.

[10] E. M. Opdam, *Root systems and hypergeometric functions IV*, Comp. Math. 67 (1988), 191-209.

[11] E.M. Opdam, *Harmonic analysis for certain representations of graded Hecke algebras*. Acta Math. 175 (1995), 75-112.

[12] E.M. Opdam, *Lectures on Dunkl Operators for Real and Complex Reflection Groups*, MSJ Memoirs 8, Mathematical Society of Japan, 2000.

[13] P. Sawyer, *The eigenfunctions of a Schrödinger operator associated to the root system A_{n-1}*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 50 (1999), no. 197, 71-86.

[14] E.C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of Functions*, Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1939.